

**Exercise 1.3**

(a) Raising  $\alpha$  in the expression  $(M^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta} = g^\mu_\alpha g^\nu_\beta - g^\mu_\beta g^\nu_\alpha$ ,

$$(M^{\mu\nu})^\alpha_\beta = g^{\mu\alpha} g^\nu_\beta - g^\mu_\beta g^{\nu\alpha}.$$

Then, noting that  $g^{0i} = 0 (i = 1, 2, 3)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (K_i K_j)^\alpha_\gamma &= (M^{0i})^\alpha_\beta (M^{0j})^\beta_\gamma \\ &= (g^{0\alpha} g^i_\beta - g^0_\beta g^{i\alpha}) (g^{0\beta} g^j_\gamma - g^0_\gamma g^{j\beta}) \\ &= -g^{i\alpha} g^j_\gamma - g^{0\alpha} g^0_\gamma g^{ji}. \end{aligned}$$

Exchanging  $i$  and  $j$ ,

$$(K_j K_i)^\alpha_\gamma = -g^{j\alpha} g^i_\gamma - g^{0\alpha} g^0_\gamma g^{ij}.$$

Then, the commutator  $[K_i, K_j]$  is

$$[K_i, K_j]^\alpha_\gamma = g^{j\alpha} g^i_\gamma - g^{i\alpha} g^j_\gamma = (M^{ji})^\alpha_\gamma = -(M^{ij})^\alpha_\gamma,$$

namely,

$$[K_i, K_j] = -L_k \quad (i, j, k : \text{cyclic}).$$

(b) Since any nonzero components in the matrixes that appear in  $[L_i, L_j] = \epsilon_{ijk} L_k$  have purely space indices, it suffices to consider the  $3 \times 3$  space parts only. Using  $(L_i)^j_k = -\epsilon_{ijk}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (L_i L_j)^l_m &= (L_i)^l_k (L_j)^k_m = \epsilon_{ilk} \epsilon_{jkm} = -\epsilon_{ilk} \epsilon_{jmk} \\ &= -(\delta_{ij} \delta_{lm} - \delta_{im} \delta_{lj}), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the formula  $\epsilon_{ilk} \epsilon_{jmk} = \delta_{ij} \delta_{lm} - \delta_{im} \delta_{lj}$ . Exchanging  $i$  and  $j$ ,

$$(L_j L_i)^l_m = -(\delta_{ji} \delta_{lm} - \delta_{jm} \delta_{li}).$$

Then, the commutator becomes,

$$[L_i, L_j]^l_m = \delta_{im} \delta_{lj} - \delta_{jm} \delta_{li} = \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{mlk} = \epsilon_{ijk} (L_k)^l_m,$$

namely,

$$[L_i, L_j] = \epsilon_{ijk} L_k.$$

(c) Since  $(L_i)^0_\mu = (L_i)^\mu_0 = 0$  and  $(K_i)^j_k = 0$ ,

$$[L_i, K_j]^k_l = (L_i)^k_\mu (K_j)^\mu_l - (K_j)^k_\mu (L_i)^\mu_l = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$[L_i, K_j]^k_0 = \underbrace{(L_i)^k_\mu (K_j)^\mu_0}_{-\epsilon_{ikl} \delta_{jl}} - (K_j)^k_\mu \underbrace{(L_i)^\mu_0}_0 = -\epsilon_{ikj} = \epsilon_{ijl} \delta_{lk} = \epsilon_{ijl} (K_l)^k_0.$$

2

Similarly,

$$[L_i, K_j]_k^0 = \epsilon_{ijl}(K_l)_k^0.$$

Putting all together, we have

$$[L_i, K_j] = \epsilon_{ijl}K_l.$$

**Exercise 1.4**

(a) Using  $P_{\parallel} = \vec{P} \cdot \vec{n}$  and  $\vec{P}_{\perp} = \vec{P} - P_{\parallel} \vec{n}$ , the boost can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} E' &= \gamma E + \eta P_{\parallel} = \gamma E + \eta \vec{P} \cdot \vec{n} = \gamma E + \beta \gamma \vec{P} \cdot \frac{\vec{\beta}}{\beta} \\ &= \gamma E + \gamma \vec{P} \cdot \vec{\beta} = \gamma E + \gamma \beta_x P_x + \gamma \beta_y P_y + \gamma \beta_z P_z, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{P}' &= P'_{\parallel} \vec{n} + \vec{P}'_{\perp} = (\eta E + \gamma P_{\parallel}) \vec{n} + \vec{P}_{\perp} \\ &= (\eta E + \gamma \vec{P} \cdot \vec{n}) \vec{n} + \vec{P} - (\vec{P} \cdot \vec{n}) \vec{n} \\ &= (\eta E + (\gamma - 1) \vec{P} \cdot \vec{n}) \vec{n} + \vec{P} \\ &= \gamma E \vec{\beta} + \frac{\gamma - 1}{\beta^2} (\vec{P} \cdot \vec{\beta}) \vec{\beta} + \vec{P}, \end{aligned}$$

which writes in terms of components,

$$\begin{aligned} P'_x &= \gamma \beta_x E + \rho \beta_x (\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{P}) + P_x \\ P'_y &= \gamma \beta_y E + \rho \beta_y (\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{P}) + P_y \\ P'_z &= \gamma \beta_z E + \rho \beta_z (\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{P}) + P_z, \end{aligned}$$

with  $\rho \equiv (\gamma - 1)/\beta^2$ . Putting them in matrix form,

$$\begin{pmatrix} E' \\ P'_x \\ P'_y \\ P'_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \gamma \beta_x & \gamma \beta_y & \gamma \beta_z \\ \gamma \beta_x & 1 + \rho \beta_x^2 & \rho \beta_x \beta_y & \rho \beta_x \beta_z \\ \gamma \beta_y & \rho \beta_y \beta_x & 1 + \rho \beta_y^2 & \rho \beta_y \beta_z \\ \gamma \beta_z & \rho \beta_z \beta_x & \rho \beta_z \beta_y & 1 + \rho \beta_z^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E \\ P_x \\ P_y \\ P_z \end{pmatrix}.$$

(b) When  $\beta \ll 1$ ,  $\gamma \sim 1 + \beta^2/2$  and thus  $\gamma - 1 \sim \beta^2/2$ . Namely,  $\rho \sim 1/2$  and  $\gamma \sim 1$ . Then, the Lorentz transformation obtained above becomes,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta_x & \beta_y & \beta_z \\ \beta_x & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_y & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \beta_z & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = I + \beta_i K_i.$$

(c) The particle with mass  $m$  at rest in  $K$  has 4-momentum  $P^\nu = m g^\nu_0$ . Then, the 4-momentum in  $K'$  is given by

$$P'^\mu = \Lambda^\mu_\nu P^\nu = m \Lambda^\mu_\nu g^\nu_0 = m \Lambda^\mu_0.$$

On the other hand, the same 4-momentum should be

$$P'^\mu = m \eta'^\mu_K.$$

where  $\eta_K^\mu$  is the 4-velocity corresponding to  $\beta'_K$ :

$$\eta_K^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \beta_K'^2}}, \quad \eta_K^i = \frac{\beta'_{Ki}}{\sqrt{1 - \beta_K'^2}}.$$

Thus, we should have

$$\Lambda^\mu{}_0 = \eta_K^\mu.$$

If we put a mass  $m$  at rest in  $K'$ , the 4-momenta there is  $P^{\nu} = mg^{\nu}{}_0$ . The 4-momentum in  $K$  is given by the inverse transformation of  $\Lambda$ :

$$P^\mu = (\Lambda^{-1})^\mu{}_\nu P^{\nu} = m(\Lambda^{-1})^\mu{}_0 = m\Lambda_0^\mu,$$

where we have used  $(\Lambda^{-1})^\mu{}_\nu = \Lambda_\nu{}^\mu$ . On the other hand, the same 4-momentum can be written in terms of  $\vec{\beta}_{K'}$  as

$$P^\mu = m\eta_{K'}^\mu,$$

where  $\eta_{K'}^\mu$  is the 4-velocity corresponding to  $\vec{\beta}_{K'}$ . Thus, we should have  $\Lambda_0^\mu = \eta_{K'}^\mu$ , or

$$\Lambda^0{}_\mu = \eta_{K'\mu}.$$

### Problem 1.3

That a rotation around an axis commute with a boost in that direction: Using the commutations relations between  $K_i$  and  $L_j$  as well as  $\theta_j = c\xi_j$ ,

$$[\xi_i K_i, \theta_j L_j] = \xi_i \theta_j \underbrace{[K_i, L_j]}_{\epsilon_{ijk} K_k} = c \xi_i \xi_j \epsilon_{ijk} K_k = 0$$

where we noted that  $\xi_i \xi_j$  is symmetric while  $\epsilon_{ijk}$  is antisymmetric under  $i \leftrightarrow j$ , thus cancel out when summed over  $i$  and  $j$ . Then, using the CBH theorem,

$$e^{\xi_i K_i} e^{\theta_j L_j} = e^{\xi_i K_i + \theta_j L_j + \underbrace{\dots}_{0}}$$

where the terms indicated by dots are zero since their innermost commutator is  $[\xi_i K_i, \theta_j L_j]$  which is zero as shown above. Namely,  $e^{\xi_i K_i}$  and  $e^{\theta_j L_j}$  commute.

That two boosts in the same direction commute: Similarly as above,

$$[\xi_i K_i, \xi'_j K_j] = \xi_i \xi'_j \underbrace{[K_i, K_j]}_{-\epsilon_{ijk} L_k} = -c \xi_i \xi'_j \epsilon_{ijk} L_k = 0.$$

Thus,

$$e^{\xi_i K_i} e^{\xi'_j K_j} = e^{\xi_i K_i + \xi'_j K_j + \underbrace{\dots}_{0}}$$

Namely,  $e^{\xi_i K_i}$  and  $e^{\xi'_j K_j}$  commute.