Exercise 3.5

In terms of γ matrices, B_i^b and B_i^r can be written as

$$B_i^b = \frac{1}{2}\gamma^0\gamma^i$$
 $B_i^r = \frac{1}{2}\gamma^j\gamma^k \ (ijk: \text{cyclic})$

We first evaluate $[B_i^b, B_j^b]$ where (i, j, k) are cyclic. Using the anticommutation of γ matrixes and $\gamma^{0^2} = 1$,

$$\begin{split} [B_i^b, B_j^b] &= \frac{1}{4} [\gamma^0 \gamma^i, \gamma^0 \gamma^j] = \frac{1}{4} (\gamma^0 \underbrace{\gamma^i \gamma^0}_{-\gamma^0 \gamma^i} \gamma^j - \gamma^0 \underbrace{\gamma^j \gamma^0}_{-\gamma^0 \gamma^j} \gamma^i) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} (\gamma^i \gamma^j - \gamma^j \gamma^i) = -\frac{1}{2} \gamma^i \gamma^j = -B_k^b. \end{split}$$

This is consistent with

$$[B_i^b, B_j^b] = -\epsilon_{ijk} B_k^r. \qquad (*)$$

where (i, j) is in cyclic order. When (i, j) is in anti-cyclic order, the above equation is correct since LHS and RHS both change sign. Also, it is trivially correct when i = j since both sides are zero. Thus, (*) is correct for all (i, j).

Next we evaluate $[B_i^r, B_j^r]$ where (i, j) are in cyclic oder. Taking (ijk) as cyclic,

$$[B_i^r, B_j^r] = \frac{1}{4} [\gamma^j \gamma^k, \gamma^k \gamma^i] = \frac{1}{4} (\gamma^j \underbrace{\gamma^k \gamma^k}_{-1} \gamma^i - \underbrace{\gamma^k \gamma^i \gamma^j \gamma^k}_{-1}) = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^i \gamma^j = B_k^r,$$

and thus we get

$$[B_i^r, B_j^r] = \epsilon_{ijk} B_k^r$$

as in the discussion below (*).

Again for (ijk) in cyclic order,

$$[B_i^r, B_j^b] = \frac{1}{4} [\gamma^j \gamma^k, \gamma^0 \gamma^j] = \frac{1}{4} (\underbrace{\gamma^j \gamma^k \gamma^0 \gamma^j}_{\underbrace{\gamma^j \gamma^j}_{-1} \gamma^k \gamma^0} - \gamma^0 \underbrace{\gamma^j \gamma^j}_{-1} \gamma^k) = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^0 \gamma^k = B_k^b,$$

which leads to

$$[B_i^r, B_j^b] = \epsilon_{ijk} B_k^b$$

as in the discussion below (*).

Exercise 3.6

(a) We trivially have $1^2 = 1$, $\gamma^{\mu 2} = 1$ or -1. For $\sigma^{\mu\nu} \ (\mu \neq \nu)$,

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu2} = i\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}i\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu} = \gamma^{\mu2}\gamma^{\nu2} = \pm 1 \,.$$

We also have $\gamma_5^2 = 1$ (see text). For axial vectors,

$$(\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5)^2 = \gamma^{\mu}\underbrace{\gamma_5 \gamma^{\mu}}_{-\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5}\gamma_5 = -\gamma^{\mu 2}\gamma_5^2 = \pm 1.$$

(b) For γ^{μ} or $\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5$, γ_5 does the job:

$$\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5 = -\gamma_5\gamma^{\mu}, \qquad (\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5)\gamma_5 = -\gamma_5(\gamma_5\gamma^{\mu}).$$

For γ_5 , a γ^{μ} does the job as shown above. For $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ ($\mu \neq \nu$), the γ^{μ} anticommutes with it:

$$(i\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu})\gamma^{\mu} = -\gamma^{\mu}(i\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu})$$

Thus, for any Γ_i $(i \neq 1)$, there is at least one Γ_k that anticommutes with it. Now the trace of $\Gamma_k \Gamma_i \Gamma_k$ can be written in two ways as

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\Gamma_k \Gamma_i \Gamma_k) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{Tr}(\Gamma_i \Gamma_k^2) & (\text{by } \operatorname{Tr} AB = \operatorname{Tr} BA) \\ -\operatorname{Tr}(\Gamma_i \Gamma_k^2) & (\text{by } \{\Gamma_i, \Gamma_k\} = 0) \end{cases} \to \operatorname{Tr}(\Gamma_i \Gamma_k^2) = 0.$$

On the other hand, $\Gamma_k^2 = \pm 1$ by (a); thus, we have $\text{Tr}\Gamma_i = 0$ $(i \neq 1)$.

(c) By moving the same γ^{μ} 's to next to each other and using $\gamma^{\mu 2} = \pm 1$, any product of γ^{μ} 's can be uniquely reduced to the form

$$c\gamma^{\mu_1} \cdots \gamma^{\mu_n} \quad (\mu_i = 0, 1, 2, 3 \quad \mu_1 < \cdots < \mu_n \quad n \le 4)$$

where c is a constant and all γ^{μ} 's are different. The axial vector $\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5$ corresponds to n = 3:

$$\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5} = \gamma^{\mu}i\gamma^{0}\gamma^{1}\gamma^{2}\gamma^{3} = \pm i\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\beta}\gamma^{\gamma} ,$$

where α, β, γ are 3 numbers different from μ . Thus, up to a constant, if n = 1 it should be one of the 4 vectors γ^{μ} , if n = 2 it should be one of the 6 tensors $i\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}$, if n = 3 it should be one of the 4 axial vectors $\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5$, and if n = 4 it is the pseudoscalar γ_5 . When the product of two Γ_i 's are taken, the only way it reduces to the scalar is that all γ^{μ} 's are paired to form γ^{μ^2} 's; namely, only when the two Γ_i 's are the same. Thus, for any Γ_i and Γ_j $(i \neq j)$, the product reduces to the above form with n > 0:

$$\Gamma_i \Gamma_j = c \Gamma_k \qquad (i \neq j, k \neq 1).$$

(d) Suppose $\sum_{i=1}^{16} c_i \Gamma_i = 0$. Taking the trace and noting that $\text{Tr}\Gamma_i = 0$ $(i \neq 1)$, we have

$$c_1 \operatorname{Tr} \Gamma_1 = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad c_1 = 0.$$

Multiply Γ_j $(j \neq 1)$ to $\sum_{i=1}^{16} c_i \Gamma_i = 0$ and take the trace. Then the only non-zero term is i = j since all other terms are proportional to a certain Γ_k $(k \neq 1)$:

$$c_j \operatorname{Tr} \Gamma_j^2 = 0 \quad \to \quad c_j = 0 \,. \quad (j \neq 1)$$

Namely, all the coefficients become zero, and thus Γ_i (i = 1, ..., 16) are linearly independent.